01-338

**Federal Communications Commission** 

ATTN: Chairman Michael Powell 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW Washington D.C. 20554

Colorado Public Utilities Commission

ATTN: Chairman Gregory Sopki 1580 Logan Street, Suite #740 Denver, CO 80203

SALE TO THE PART OF GINAL

RECEIVED & INSPEC

MAR - 3 2005

FCC - MAILROC

Dear Sirs:

I am a concerned citizen of Colorado. I receive my local telephone service from a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC). I have received better value and customer service than I was ever able to receive prior to having a competitive choice in local telecommunications service providers. Competition has reduced costs, increased customer service and benefited the consumer tremendously.

The recent **FCC TRO Remand Order** the FCC released has set competition back 10 years in the eyes of the consumer. As a result of your actions, my phone rates are going up no matter where I go. Rates have increased through both my competitive carrier and through the incumbent carrier (Qwest) as a result of your actions. As a result with higher costs and fewer choices for my telecom services.

For the vast majority of American conslegacy, copper wire phone networks. Wireless) itself admits, wireless phone

Competition and choice are decreasin Unless the FCC and PUC act to ensur go up and quickly. Competitive local  $\epsilon$  owned lines, leased by the Bell compabusiness customers. But without FCC control to raise these rates.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, support, envisioned an active FCC rol-FCC must rise to meet this challenge. not sit idly by while jobs are lost, price five years.

We believe the FCC's position will have phone companies. We need more smand provide more choices.

Sincerely.

Lows J. Grand war.
A concerned telecom consumer, taxps

Mr. Louis J. Goodman 483 E Irish Avenue Littleton, CO 80122-2801 e is no viable alternative to a landline phone using jiant SBC (co-owner with BellSouth of Cingular t a substitute for landline.

of this Administration's FCC TRO Remand Order: ive marketplace, prices to consumers will continue to miers (CLECs) need access to the monopoly ver phone and Internet services to residential and 3ells will be able to leverage their unregulated monopoly

ed with overwhelming Republican and Democratic and competitive access to the phone networks. The the FCC must take action that reaffirms that it will ur phone monopolies undo the progress of the past

ing effect on competition. We do not need large s like Liberty Bell Telecom that listen to our needs

31

Federal Communications Commission ATTN: Chairman Michael Powell or Successor 445 12th Street SW Washington D.C. 20554

Colorado Public Utilities Commission ATTN: Chairman Gregory Sopkin

1580 Logan Street, Suite # 4RECEIVED & INSPECTED

Denver, CO 80203

DOCKET IS SOOPY ORIGINAL

Dear Sirs:

I am a concerned citizen of Colorado. I receive my local telephone service from a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC). I have received better value and customer service than I was ever able to receive prior to having a competitive choice in local telecommunications service providers. Competition has reduced costs, increased customer service and benefitted the consumer tremendously.

The recent FCC TRO Remand Order the FCC released has set competition back 10 years in the eyes of the consumer. As a result of your actions, my phone rates are going up no matter where I go. Rates have increased through both my competitive carrier and through the incumbent carrier (Qwest) as a result of your actions. As a result i am left with higher costs and fewer choices for my telecom services.

For the vast majority of American consumers, there is no viable alternative to a landline phone using legacy, copper wire phone networks. And as Bell giant SBC (co-owner with BellSouth of Cingular Wireless) itself admits, wireless phones are not yet a substitute for landline.

Competition and choice are decreasing as a result of this Administration's FCC TRO Remand Order: Unless the FCC and PUC act to ensure a competitive marketplace, prices to consumers will continue to go up and quickly. Competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) need access to the monopoly owned lines, leased by the Bell companies, to deliver phone and Internet services to residential and business customers. But without FCC action, the Bells will be able to leverage their unregulated monopoly control to raise these rates.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, which passed with overwhelming Republican and Democratic support, envisioned an active FCC role in supporting competitive access to the phone networks. The FCC must rise to meet this challenge. Specifically, the FCC must take action that reaffirms that it will not sit idly by while jobs are lost, prices rise and four phone monopolies undo the progress of the past five years.

We believe the FCC's position will have a devastating effect on competition. We do not need large phone companies. We need more small companies like Liberty Bell Telecom that listen to our needs and provide more choices.

Sincerely,

Jochi L. Realle

A concerned telecom consumer, taxpayer and voter

**Federal Communications Commission** ATTN: Chairman Michael Powell or Successor 445 12th Street SW Washington D.C. 20554

Colorado Public Utilities Commission

ATTN: Chairman Gregory STREET Suite #140 1580 Logan Street, Suite #1

Denver, CO 80203

MAR - 3 2005

NAMED OF STREET

CC - MAILROON

Dear Sirs:

I am a concerned citizen of Colorado. I receive my local telephone service from a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC). I have received better value and customer service than I was ever able to receive prior to having a competitive choice in local telecommunications service providers. Competition has reduced costs, increased customer service and benefitted the consumer tremendously.

The recent FCC TRO Remand Order the FCC released has set competition back 10 years in the eyes of the consumer. As a result of your actions, my phone rates are going up no matter where I go. Rates have increased through both my competitive carrier and through the incumbent carrier (Qwest) as a result of your actions. As a result I am left with higher costs and fewer choices for my telecom services.

For the vast majority of American consumers, there is no viable alternative to a landline phone using legacy, copper win phone networks. And as Bell giant SBC (co-owner with BellSouth of Cingular Wireless) itself admits, wireless phones are not yet a substitute for landline.

Competition and choice are decreasing as a result of this Administration's FCC TRO Remand Order: Unless the FCC and PUC act to ensure a competitive marketplace, prices to consumers will continue to go up and quickly. Competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) need access to the monopoly owned lines, leased by the Bell companies, to deliver phone and Internet services to residential and business customers. But without FCC action, the Bells will be able to leverage their unregulated monopoly control to raise these rates.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, which passed with overwhelming Republican and Democratic support, envisioned an active FCC role in supporting competitive access to the phone networks. The FCC must rise to meet this challenge. Specifically, the FCC must take action that reaffirms that it will not sit idly by while jobs are lost, prices rise and four phone monopolies undo the progress of the past five years.

We believe the FCC's position will have a devastating effect on competition. We do not need large phone companies. We need more small companies like Liberty Bell Telecom that listen to our needs and provide more choices.

Sincerely,

Michelle autry Michelle Autry

A concerned telecom consumer, taxpayer and voter

Mr. & Mrs. Aaron Autry 4643 S. Jellison St. Littleton, CO 80123